



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,689		06/25/2001	Phillip E. Byrd	4715US (00-1057)	4715US (00-1057) 1027 EXAMINER	
24247	7590	02/04/2004		EXAMI		
TRASK			CHANG, RICK KILTAE			
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			3729	0.0	
				DATE MAILED: 02/04/2004 2 0		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/888,689	BYRD, PHILLIP E.
 Office Action Summary 	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rick K. Chang	3729
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she t with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u>	anuary 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i>	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-55 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.	
Application Papers	or orodon requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the content of the content of the first sentence of the content of the content of the first sentence of the content of the	is have been received. Its have been received in Application of the certified copies not received in Application priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification of the certified copies not received in the sentence of the specification application has been received in the specific transfer of transfer of transfer of the specific transfer of the specific transfer of the specific transfer of transfer o	ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Ŷ

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D'Souza (US 5,323,107) in view of Bierig (US 4,089,734), and further in view of Rostoker et al (US 5,838,163).

D'Souza discloses in Fig. 1B substantially all the claimed limitations. Fig. 2 discloses supplying a test signal and receiving a test signal by probe elements. L1 . . . L4 are fuse elements.

D'Souza fails to disclose disposing at least some of the fuse elements immediately adjacent the at least one of the first and second surfaces and providing active fuse elements and repairable fuse elements after being tripped.

Bierig discloses disposing at least some of the fuse elements immediately adjacent the at least one of the first and second surfaces (Figs. 4A-4B) and providing repairable fuse elements after being tripped (Figs. 3A-3C shows fuse elements (39) are formed using a deposition process, as well as configured as shown in Fig. 3C to be repairable).

Rostoker discloses at least some of the plurality of fuse elements comprising at least an active fuse element (col. 23, lines 62-63).

Art Unit: 3729

Ÿ

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify D'Souza by disposing at least some of the fuse elements immediately adjacent the at least one of the first and second surfaces and providing repairable fuse elements after being tripped, as taught by Bierig, for the purpose of shortening the length of the electrical communication between the probe and the fuse for faster reaction and saving money by repairing damaged components.

Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify D'Souza by providing at least some of the plurality of fuse elements comprising at least an active fuse element, as taught by Rostoker, for the purpose of stop conducting electric currents at a certain level without melting or vaporizing the fuse.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D'Souza (US 5,323,107)/Bierig (US 4,089,734)/Rostoker et al (US 5,838,163) as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Maruyama et al (US 5,832,595).

D'Souza/Bierig/Rostoker fail to disclose forming the fuse elements from copper.

Maruyama discloses forming the fuse elements from copper (Fig. 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bierig/Rostoker by forming the fuse elements from copper, as taught by Maruyama, for the purpose of saving production cost by using readily available and cheap material with good electrical conductivity characteristic.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3729

In Paper No. 17, Examiner has forwarded signed copies of PTO-1449.

Conclusion

5. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity. Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

RC

February 2, 2004

Page 5